

Credit Opinion: CEZ, a.s.

Global Credit Research - 07 Apr 2016

Prague, Czech Republic

### **Ratings**

CategoryMoody's RatingOutlookStableSenior UnsecuredBaa1CEZ MH B.V.StableOutlookStableBkd Senior Unsecured -Dom CurrBaa1

### Contacts

Analyst Phone Paul Marty/London 44.20.7772.5454

Helen Francis/London Neil Griffiths-Lambeth/London

### **Key Indicators**

### [1]CEZ, a.s.

[.]- ==,	31/12/2015	31/12/2014	31/12/2013	31/12/2012	31/12/2011
(CFO Pre-W/C + Interest) / Interest	8.1x	7.4x	7.3x	8.3x	8.1x
(CFO Pre-W/C) / Net Debt	33.3%	31.5%	31.9%	35.0%	37.4%
RCF / Net Debt	19.9%	19.6%	20.7%	22.4%	23.0%
FCF / Net Debt	12.2%	7.4%	2.7%	-6.8%	<b>-</b> 9.8%

[1] All ratios are based on 'Adjusted' financial data and incorporate Moody's Global Standard Adjustments for Non-Financial Corporations. Source: Moody's Financial Metrics.

Note: For definitions of Moody's most common ratio terms please see the accompanying User's Guide.

## **Opinion**

# **Rating Drivers**

- Leading position in the Czech power generation market, with large exposure to low-cost nuclear and lignite power production
- Regulated network distribution activities support cash flow stability
- Weaker ratios expected over the medium term as lower power prices feed through
- Long-term uncertainty surrounding development of new nuclear plants in the Czech Republic

## **Corporate Profile**

CEZ, a.s. (CEZ, Baa1 stable) is one of the largest electric utility companies in Central and Eastern Europe with

15.9 gigawatts (GWs) of power generation capacity and more than 7 million customers. The group operates primarily in the Czech Republic (74% of revenues in 2015) as well as in Bulgaria (12%), Romania (6%) and Poland (3%). CEZ's core businesses are (1) power production and trading, which comprised 48% of group EBITDA in 2015; and (2) distribution and supply, which contributed 40%. In addition, the group is involved in coal mining and other activities, which complement the core business segments and contributed around 12% to group EBITDA in 2015.

CEZ is approximately 70% owned by the Government of the Czech Republic (A1 stable), whilst the remaining shares are listed on the Prague stock exchange. The company's current market capitalisation is around CZK220 billion (EUR8.3 billion).

## **Rating Rationale**

CEZ's Baa1 rating is underpinned by (1) the company's leading position in the Czech electricity market and well-balanced vertical integration; (2) the low-cost nature of its nuclear and lignite generation fleet; and (3) the cash flow stability stemming from its regulated distribution activities. These factors are balanced by (1) a weak power price environment which weighs on the group's profitability given the fixed-cost nature of its generation fleet; and (2) the potential for additional material investments and new nuclear development. Current financial ratios are above the guidance for the Baa1 rating, with funds from operations (FFO)/net debt of 33% at year-end 2015, although we expect them to weaken in the coming years as hedges roll off and low power prices feed through. Finally, the Baa1 rating incorporates one notch of rating uplift given the Czech government's 70% ownership.

### **DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS**

LEADING POSITION AS ELECTRIC UTILITY IN CZECH REPUBLIC ALTHOUGH WEAK POWER PRICES AFFECT PROFITABILITY

With around 13GW of installed capacity, CEZ is the largest generator in the Czech Republic - having a market share of close to 70%. The company benefits from a low-cost generation fleet, with around 85% of its capacity represented by lignite, nuclear and hydro. This strong position is further supported by its vertical integration as (1) CEZ's own coal mines cover more than 70% of its lignite needs; and (2) it has a 37% market share in supply. Mitigating this however, is the fact that CEZ's generation fleet in the Czech Republic is predominantly fixed-cost in nature, which makes the company particularly exposed to changes in power prices.

In common with its peers, CEZ has been impacted by the weak power price environment in Europe. Czech power prices are closely linked to German power prices given the interconnections between the two countries. Current one-year forward wholesale prices around EUR22/MWh in Germany thus point to further pressure on the group's profitability, although it is partly protected in the near term by its forward-selling strategy with around 85% of its power pre-sold for 2016 and 67% pre-sold for 2017 at prices of EUR35/MWh and EUR31.5/MWh, respectively.

### REGULATED DISTRIBUTION ACTIVITIES SUPPORT CASH FLOW STABILITY

In addition to domestic generation and supply, CEZ's rating is supported by its regulated electricity distribution activities in the Czech Republic whose revenues benefit from a relatively high degree of visibility. Czech distribution activities, which accounted for 24% of group EBITDA in 2015, are in their fourth regulatory period which covers the 2016-18 period. CEZ owns and operates 5 of the 8 distribution grids in the country representing an aggregate RAB of CZK85 billion and covering around 60% of total customers. Revenues are underpinned by a well-developed regulatory framework allowing for cost and investment recovery, with a current WACC (nominal, pre-tax) of 7.95%. The group's distribution activities in Romania and Bulgaria, albeit much smaller in scale, are in their third and fourth regulatory period, respectively.

# WEAKER CREDIT METRICS EXPECTED OVER THE MEDIUM TERM AS LOW POWER PRICES AND POTENTIAL NEW INVESTMENTS FEED THROUGH

Lower power prices will lead to a further reduction in operating cash flow from 2016 onwards as hedges roll off, although the impact on credit metrics will be partly mitigated by a reduced capex program of around CZK30-35 billion per annum between 2016 and 2020 following the completion of investments designed to refurbish and upgrade the generation fleet (vs. in excess of CZK40 billion per annum before 2014). Based on market power prices around EUR22/MWh, we expect that CEZ will demonstrate FFO/net debt in the high twenties in percentage terms and retained cash flow (RCF) / net debt in the low twenties in percentage terms in the medium term in the absence of additional investments that have not been identified yet. These compare with

actual ratios of FFO/net debt of 33% and RCF/net debt of 20% at year-end 2015.

This pressure on credit metrics could be further exacerbated by the company's intention to invest an additional CZK50 to 60 billion by 2020 into renewables, decentralized solutions, heat sector and distribution, notably, should the opportunities arise. Our guidance for the Baa1 rating, including FFO/net debt around the mid twenties in percentage terms and RCF/net debt in the high teens in percentage terms, factors in that CEZ has amended its leverage target, to 2.5x - 3.0x net debt / EBITDA from 2.0x - 2.5x previously, to accommodate such potential additional investments.

### DEVELOPMENT OF NEW NUCLEAR WOULD BE CREDIT NEGATIVE

CEZ has been preparing the development of two new nuclear units, no. 3 and 4, at its existing site in Temelin in Czech Republic. This reflects the government's energy policy and willingness to maintain nuclear as a key component of the country's fuel mix in the future. We believe that the long lead time and high initial upfront costs associated with new nuclear would weigh on the financial profile of the group in the future, although we note that the structure of the investment and its responsibility are yet to be decided. CEZ's Baa1 rating does not currently factor in the risk of new nuclear investment as there remains significant uncertainty regarding both the timing and support level for such a project, as any investment in new nuclear will likely require state support given current low power prices.

#### OWNERSHIP BY CZECH STATE PROVIDES RATING UPLIFT

In accordance with our rating methodology for Government-Related Issuers (GRIs), CEZ's Baa1 rating incorporates one notch of uplift from its standalone credit quality or Baseline Credit Assessment (BCA) of baa1 based on our estimate of strong support from the government of Czech Republic (A1 stable). This reflects CEZ's critical role within the Czech energy sector and its high strategic importance to the overall Czech economy, given that it represents one of the largest employers and contributors to the state budget. A potential reduction in state ownership is unlikely to impact our support estimate as long as CEZ remains at least 51% owned by the Czech Ministry of Finance and the owner supports the company's strategy.

Moderate default dependence balances (1) the fact that CEZ generates the majority of its earnings in the Czech Republic and is among the largest companies in the country, as a result of which there is a significant correlation between its credit quality and the overall macroeconomic development in the region; with (2) an exposure to developments in the German power market which influence heavily power prices in the Czech Republic.

### Liquidity

CEZ's liquidity is strong, underpinned by CZK13 billion of cash, CZK12 billion of highly liquid financial assets and CZK30.5 billion of undrawn committed facilities at 31 December 2015. We believe that these sources, together with the proceeds from recent private placements and our expectation of EBITDA of CZK55-60 billion in 2016, should be sufficient to meet the company's liquidity needs in the coming 12 months. These include dividends of around CZK20 billion, capex of around CZK30-35 billion and debt maturities of CZK12 billion.

# **Rating Outlook**

The outlook is stable, reflecting our expectation that CEZ's key credit metrics will remain aligned with the guidance for the current Baa1 rating, which includes FFO/net debt around the mid twenties in percentage terms and RCF/net debt in the high teens in percentage terms.

### What Could Change the Rating - Up

Higher than expected power prices or a moderate dividend policy resulting in FFO/net debt sustainably in the high twenties to low thirties in percentage terms and RCF/net debt comfortably above 20% on a sustainable basis, could exert upward pressure on ratings.

# What Could Change the Rating - Down

Negative pressure on CEZ's rating would develop if (1) financial ratios fall permanently below our guidance for the Baa1 rating; (2) the group undertakes a large debt-funded acquisition in addition to its announced potential investments; or (3) it starts developing new nuclear units that weaken its business and/or financial risk profile.

# **Rating Factors**

### CEZ, a.s.

Unregulated Utilities and Unregulated Power Companies Industry Grid [1]	Current as of 12/31/2015	
Factor 1 : Scale (10%)	Measure	Score
a) Scale (USD Billion)	24	Α
Factor 2 : Business Profile (40%)		
a) Market Diversification		Ва
b) Hedging and Integration Impact on Cash Flow Predictability		Baa
c) Market Framework & Positioning		Ва
d) Capital Requirements and Operational Performance		Α
e) Business Mix Impact on Cash Flow Predictability		Aa
Factor 3 : Financial Policy (10%)		
a) Financial Policy		Baa
Factor 4 : Leverage and Coverage (40%)		
a) (CFO Pre-W/C + Interest) / Interest (3	7.6x	Baa
Year Avg)		
b) (CFO Pre-W/C) / Net Debt (3 Year Avg)	32.2%	Baa
c) RCF / Net Debt (3 Year Avg)	20.1%	Baa
Rating:		
a) Indicated Rating from Grid		Baa2
b) Baseline Credit Assessment (BCA)		

[2]Moody's 12-18 Month Forward ViewAs of April 2016	
Measure	Score A
	Ba Baa
	Ba A
	Aa
	Ваа
6x-8x	Baa
27%-32% 15%-20%	Baa Baa
	Baa2 baa2

Government-Related Issuer	Factor	
a) Baseline Credit Assessment (BCA)	baa2	
b) Government Local Currency Rating	A1	
c) Default Dependence	Moderate	
d) Support	Strong	
e) Final Rating Outcome	Baa1	

[1] All ratios are based on 'Adjusted' financial data and incorporate Moody's Global Standard Adjustments for Non-Financial Corporations. Source: Moody's Financial Metrics [2] This represents Moody's forward view; not the view of the issuer; and unless noted in the text, does not incorporate significant acquisitions and divestitures

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on <a href="http://www.moodys.com">http://www.moodys.com</a> for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.



© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES,

CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third- party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.